

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR - | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------------|--|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/706,221 | 11/12/2003 | Heiko Taxis | 15111-000166 6314 | | |
| ²⁷⁵⁷² HARNESS, DI | 7590 05/03/2007 CKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. | EXAMINER | | | |
| P.O. BOX 828 | | | EKONG, EMEM | | |
| BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303 | | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | · | | 2617 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 05/03/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/706,221 | TAXIS, HEIKO | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| EMEM EKONG | 2617 | |

| · | EMEM EKONG | 2617 | |
|--|---|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>03 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | rce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the second content of the second con | 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig | 136(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi | te extension fee ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | te of the final rejection, o | even if timely filed, |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of e appeal. Since |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, is (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains the contai | out prior to the date of filing a brief, nsideration and/or search (see NO | , will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below); | ecause |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | ducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a entire NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1) | | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | ll be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3-5, 7-14</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | • | | • |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | t before or on the date of filing a North and the affidate of | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is | t be entered s necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fai | ls to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attact | ned. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | t does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to claims, i.e., interchangeably arranged in any one of the slots, requires further search to determine accepts:

LESTER G. KINCAID SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER